

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,959	12/22/2003	Robert S. Beach	IBM1P044A/SJ09-2000-0124U	9362	
28875	7590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER		
Zilka-Kotab, PC			KIM, PAUL D		
P.O. BOX 72 SAN JOSE.	1120 CA 95172-1120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3729		

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				5			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/743,959	BEACH, ROBERT	ΓS.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul D Kim	3729				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	idress			
THE MA - Extension - Extension - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ons of time may be available under the provisions of K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) and for reply is specified above, the maximum statute reply within the set or extended period for reply with received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimur story period will apply and will expire SIX (ill, by statute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>11 April 2005</u> .					
·)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□ S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-3, 7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) C	laim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requiremen	nt.				
Application	ı Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[Th	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
R	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🗌 Th	e oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) <u>□</u> 1. 2. 3.	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received ocuments have been received the priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National .	Stage			
Attachment(s)		_					
· <u>—</u>	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Informat	if Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10 ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P1 o(s)/Mail Date		ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is a response to the amendment filed on 4/11/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Re. Claim 1: The phrase "adjusting a magnitude of said external magnetic field to cause the magnetization of said ferromagnetic layer in said bias tabs to be substantially perpendicular to the direction of said external magnetic field" as recited in lines 11-13 was not originally described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/743,959

Art Unit: 3729

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-3, 7 and 8 are, as best understood in view of the rejections under 112 first paragraphs, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin et al. (US PAT. 6,175,475).

Lin et al. teach a process of manufacturing a spin valve sensor comprising steps of: placing the sensor (400) in an external magnetic field as shown in Fig. 4; adjusting a magnitude of the external magnetic field to cause the magnetization of a ferromagnetic layer (410) in a bias tabs (415, 420, 430) to be substantially perpendicular (422) to the direction of the magnetic field (see also col. 5, lines 37-66); heating the sensor above a blocking temperature of both of the antiferromagnetic layers; and, cooling the sensor below the blocking temperature of both of the antiferromagnetic layers in the presence of said magnetic field (see also col. 5, line 1 to col. 6, line 24).

As per claim 2 the heating and cooling are performed in a single sequence (equivalent with heating and then cooling the antiferromagnetic layers).

As per claim 3 a direction of the magnetic field during the single sequence of heating and cooling is not oriented in a direction parallel (such as perpendicular) to the ABS (see also col. 6, lines 5-7 and 14-16).

As per claim 7 a second antiferromagnetic layer (432) and the free layer (410) have substantially the same width as shown in Fig. 4.

As per claim 8 the first (430) and second (432) antiferromagnetic layers have substantially the same composition (NiO).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,959 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant argues that the prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention such that the external magnetic field is adjusted to cause the magnetization of the ferromagnetic layer to perpendicular to the external magnetic field. Examiner traverses the argument that there are no such limitations described in the specification. Also, Lin et al. teach that the external magnetic field is caused the rotation the direction of the magnetization of the free layer relative to the direction of magnetization of the pinned (ferromagnetic) layer, which is pinned perpendicular to the ABS.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4565. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,959 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul D Kim
Examiner
Art Unit 3729